

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	D	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,367		09/12/2003	Toshimitsu Kato	81912.0015	6753	
26021	7590	02/08/2006		EXAMINER		
		SON L.L.P.	LEJA, RO	LEJA, RONALD W		
SUITE 19	AND AVI	ENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANO	GELES, CA	A 90071-2611	2836			
				DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006	DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			y				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/661,367	KATO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ronald W. Leja	2836				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES INSTRUCTION OF THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
* 4	application from the International Bureau	, , , ,					
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	;u.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/2003.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/661,367

Art Unit: 2836

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Aizawa (5,013,926).

See Figure 4 and Col.8, lines 18-23.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aizawa.

Figure 4 of Aizawa discloses the claimed subject matter except for the use of a bypass diode or diodes for Claim 10, in parallel with the impedance element (38). It is the opinion of the Examiner that it would have been obvious to include the bypass diode(s) as a means to more quickly charge the gate capacitance on the control circuit when turning-on, thereby increasing performance of the overall device. The number of diodes allow for custom tailoring of the device for particular applications.

Claims 2 and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aizawa in view of Ehalt et al. (4,931,656).

Claim 2 requires an impedance element, bipolar transistor and diode. Aizawa is somewhat silent with respect to these features. However, Ehalt et al. teach the use of the impedance element (56), bipolar transistor (54) and second diode (58). It would have been obvious to incorporate the teachings of Ehalt et al. so as to offer faster turn-off times as opposed to use of transistor (26). These faster switching speeds are often required in the particular application of the switching relay system.

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a Statement of Reasons for the Indication of Allowable Subject Matter: There does not appear to be motivation for modifying the Prior Art of Record so as to meet the claimed combination found within Dependent Claim 6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald W. Leja whose telephone number is (571)272-2053. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,367 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rönald W Leja 400 Primary Examiner Art Unit 2836

rwl February 6, 2006